

Condorcet Internet Voting Service

About CIVS
Public polls
Create new poll
About security and privacy
FAQ
CIVS suggestion box

Poll Results: OWBN Structure Poll

Poll description

Bureaucracy and procedure is the first large issue of contention in OWBN. Please rank the following options for overall structure according to your preference.

Supervisor: Jason Place <fiordhraoi@gmail.com>
Announced end of poll: Friday, November 14th 2014, 10pm EST
Actual time poll closed: 11/16/2014, 12:18:08 AM
Private poll (127 authorized voters)
Actual votes cast: 57
Number of winning choices:
Condorcet completion rule: [\(What is this?\)](#)

- Schulze/Beatpath/CSSD
- CIVS Ranked Pairs
- MAM
- Condorcet-IRV

Result

- 1. More relaxed style of governance with a large amount of leeway for STs, and a corresponding increase in the ability of Coordinators to raise individual issues as opposed to restricting things up front.** (Condorcet winner: wins contests with all other choices)
- 2. Current OWBN structure and procedure.** loses to More relaxed style of governance with a large amount of leeway for STs, and a corresponding increase in the ability of Coordinators to raise individual issues as opposed to restricting things up front. by 32-24
- 3. Top-down style governance with more centralized power in org-wide roles and more unified rules ("universal house rules.")** loses to More relaxed style of governance with a large amount of leeway for STs, and a corresponding increase in the ability of Coordinators to raise individual issues as opposed to restricting things up front. by 39-16, loses to Current OWBN structure and procedure. by 34-23

Result details

[Hide details](#)

	1	2	3
1. More relaxed style of governance with a large amount of leeway for STs, and a corresponding increase in the ability of Coordinators to raise individual issues as opposed to restricting things up front.	-	32	39
2. Current OWBN structure and procedure.	24	-	34
3. Top-down style governance with more centralized power in org-wide roles and more unified rules ("universal house rules.")	16	23	-

Ballot report

	Current OWBN structure and procedure.	Top-down style governance with more centralized power in org-wide roles and more unified rules ("universal house rules.")	More relaxed style of governance with a large amount of leeway for STs, and a corresponding increase in the ability of Coordinators to raise individual issues as opposed to restricting things up front.
rdickerson6@gmail.com:	2	3	1
dodgegriff@gmail.com:	1	3	1
ot.council.rep@gmail.com:	3	2	1
o.vectorius@gmail.com:	2	3	1
shawnrobbins59@gmail.com:	3	2	1
voideng@gmail.com:	2	1	3
freevamphugs@gmail.com:	2	3	1

ShadowsCrossingCM@gmail.com:	1	2	3
foster.owbn@gmail.com:	2	1	3
maja.zaccara@gmail.com:	3	1	2
cch525@gmail.com:	1	3	2
staugecho@gmail.com:	1	3	2
heartland.cm@gmail.com:	3	2	1
shadowsandlightcm@gmail.com:	2	3	1
soccouncil@gmail.com:	2	3	1
tampa.storyteller@gmail.com:	3	1	2
council.member.for.hire@gmail.com:	1	3	2
bryan.himebaugh@gmail.com:	1	3	2
gabriel.trevisan@gmail.com:	3	2	1
council@hiddenflame.net:	2	3	1
gnv.geoff@gmail.com:	2	1	3
bhcbn.cm@gmail.com:	1	2	3
Indy.council.rep@gmail.com:	2	3	1
utrcrep@gmail.com:	2	3	1
athenscm@gmail.com:	2	3	1
sins.zhani@gmail.com:	2	3	1
jgarlick@gmail.com:	2	3	1
sangcouncil@gmail.com:	1	2	3
stephanie_stemple_lester@yahoo.com:	1	3	2
FronrangesabbatCM@gmail.com:	1	3	3
whimsical.lynx@gmail.com:	2	3	1
steelvalleycm@gmail.com:	1	3	2
Damiansadi@gmail.com:	3	2	1
darkother@gmail.com:	1	3	2
richard.hall.hollander@gmail.com:	2	3	1
langenoirst@gmail.com:	2	1	3
frozenqueensts@gmail.com:	3	2	1
CM.RochesterbyNight@gmail.com:	2	1	1
Ernest.bluford@gmail.com:	3	2	1
riverofshadowcm@gmail.com:	2	3	1
ST.by.Night@gmail.com:	3	1	2
brdmoore@gmail.com:	2	3	1
mypoorinboxlaxrep@gmail.com:	1	3	2
nencouncil@gmail.com:	2	3	1
SuperSabbatST@gmail.com:	1	2	3
iowasabbatst@gmail.com:	2	1	3
vitae.council@gmail.com:	1	2	3
CMFredbynight@gmail.com:	1	3	2
alex@kallend.net:	3	2	1
CNMR.CouncilRep@gmail.com:	3	2	1
weruby@gmail.com:	2	3	1
andrew.devrell@gmail.com:	3	2	1
debatman69@gmail.com:	2	1	3
glasswalkerragabash@gmail.com:	1	3	2
cimitiere@gmail.com:	2	1	3
samrawrbrandys@gmail.com:	3	2	1
fields.of.rage.cm@gmail.com:	2	1	3

Ballots are shown in a randomly generated order.

[[Download ballots in CSV format](#)]

- Rank 1: Found CW More relaxed style of governance with a large amount of leeway for STs, and a corresponding increase in the ability of Coordinators to raise individual issues as opposed to restricting things up front. immediately.
- Rank 2: Found CW Current OWBN structure and procedure. immediately.
- Rank 3: Found CW Top-down style governance with more centralized power in org-wide roles

and more unified rules ("universal house rules.") immediately.